Brief & General
"Guidelines & Instructions", for Submitting Votes;
on Issues Presented in the various Ballots Available
under the Web-Page of the Common-Law Republic of the U.S.A. .
https://CommonLawRepublic.us/

1: This document seeks to Assist Participants in our Common-Law Republic Community Organizing efforts, to More Effectively Use the Numerous Ballots which our community leadership has constructed to present a Wide Variety of Polling-Issues to our participants.

Here-under; Every Participant May Up-Date & Modify Their Current Voting-Record, on Any Issue, on Any Ballot, in Any Manner, & at Any Time. Our Data-Base is programed to Allow All Users to Up-Date the Current Record of how they are Responding to Any or All of the Polling-Issues being Presented here-in. This Allows Users to Instantly "Affect Polling-Results", by simply Up-Dating Their File in our data-base, which has recorded how they have been voting in their response to any particular polling-issue on any particular ballot.

Just keep in mind, please, that, Records of All Votes of All Participants are Immediately Distributed Publicly & Widely through the Distributed Hash Table of the Holochain based Data-Base program which we are using, as described more fully, here;
https://developer.holochain.org/concepts/4_dht/

2: We are using a "Preferential Voting" System, (which is an evolving field of study, with frequently over-lapping boundary fields, poor definitions, & differing names; … but), this general concept is also known under such names as "Weighted Voting", "Rated Voting", & "Ranked Voting". And, (regardless of which precise name is being used), the system we are here-under using, utilizes a "Voting Scale", of "Zero to One-Hundred", aka: "0 – 100". And so, here-under; you will need to "Assign a Specific & Unique Number", between 0 – 100, to Each Issue which you wish to vote on, in your most current responses to any issue presented in any ballot.

Unless other-wise clearly noted on any specific ballot; voters may assign the same weighted number to differing issues or cantidates on any ballot; as no such issue or candidate is here-in empowered to exclusively monopolize any specific numerically-weighted category entry value. We expect to have many people voting on the issues presented in our ballots; &, here-under, any such individually-conflicted preferences, will, in the larger population polled, & in the final vote polling tally results, never actually present any conflicted results, likely.

3: Here-under; please Assign your Highest-Numbers, between 67 & 100, to the Candidates or Issues which you would Actively Promote. Generally speaking; Numerical Enteries in this higher numerical section, will be translated as a "Yes", or "Approved", response; to the specific issue presented in the specific wording for that issue on the ballot. Give other consecutively Lower Numbers of your consecutively Lesserly-Preferred Candidates or Issues.

Assign Mid-Range Numbers, between 34 & 66, to Candidates or Issues which you are relatively "Indifferent" about, & would be willing to Tolerate, & Not be seriously Angry about being approved by the Constituent-Community here-under involved. Generally speaking; Numerical Enteries in this mid-range numerical section, will be translated as an "Indifferent" response; to the specific issue presented in the wording for that issue on the ballot.
(Leave spaces blank if you have not yet developed an opinion about that candidate or issue.)

Assign Lower-Numbers, between "10 - 33", to those Candidates or Issues which you Actively Oppose; & concerning which you would be Angry about, If Those Candidates or Issues

actually become approved &/or implemented by the Constituent-Community there-under involved.

Assign even Lower-Numbers, between Zero - 9, to Candidates or Issues which you are Extremely Serious About Opposing; & about which you believe, attheir essence, atively promote what amounts to as "Acts of War as against our Common People", &, for which, in essence, "Probable Cause" Exists to "Warrant" the "Arrest", of all people involved in supporting that issue or candidate.

Generally speaking; Numerical Enteries in both of these lowest numerical sections, will be translated as a "No", or "Opposed", response; to the specific issue presented in the specific wording for that issue on the ballot.

5:     Other documents, from out-side sources, & which we believe may be useful for better comprehending the concepts involved in this Preferential/Weighted/Ranked/Rated Voting Process which we are here-in using, exists from many sources, including the following linked web-pages:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Preferential_voting
https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/preferentialvoting
https://electionbuddy.com/blog/2022/03/02/understanding-how-preferential-voting-works/
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rated_voting
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ranked_voting

Because all records in our data-base are instantly distributed amongst many widely net-worked computer systems; here-under, there is no way to "delete" past records of past votes. Once such votes have been distributed, then all other participants in our broader community have records of those votes; & they are not obligated to delete those older records, simply because one participant has changed their mind.

This entire system is designed for participants who are using this system in good-faith, & for people who are not afraid of making honest changes to how they are responding to issues of public-concern. If situations arrise where participants are frequently changing their positions on important issues; then they just need to learn to get comfortable with this reality.

One option for escaping the illumination shown on people who frequently & whimisically change their voting-positions on issues; is, to just register as a lower-level user in our system; & there-in, just provide "minimal information" about who you really are. This will result in this lower-level account having significantly less influence in our vote-tallying results; but, if these timid users can just learn to be comfortable with this lower-level of influence in our vote-totals tallying-process, then, we expect, every-one should be happy with this larger process & system.

The last option, is, regrettably, to just quit using our system. We do hope that last option is never seriously considered by any good-faith person considering using our system. We expect most people will see the wisdom in working with-in these very public & open-source parameters; & that they will also be very comfortable using it in this manner.

Here-under; our data-base will promptly & accurately tally all up-dated changes to the voting profiles of all users, on all issues, on all ballots; & we will similarily promptly up-date & publish, publicly, all changes in our vote-tallying results; & we expect all of this to be "a good thing"; & that it will soon become very dynamic, organic, & influential in our modernly dysfunctional society.

God's kingdom come; God's will be done; ...

Charles Stewart, in Coleman Texas;
2025-June-25.